



Record of decision

THE FOLLOWING DECISIONS WERE TAKEN ON THURSDAY, 8 NOVEMBER 2018 BY THE CABINET

THE CALL IN PERIOD FOR THESE DECISIONS EXPIRES AT 5.00 PM ON MONDAY, 19 NOVEMBER 2018

Members of the Cabinet who were present

Cllrs Dave Stewart (Chairman), Barry Abraham, Paul Brading, John Hobart, Stuart Hutchinson, Clare Mosdell, Michael Murwill, Tig Outlaw, Ian Ward, Wayne Whittle

Members also present (non-voting)

Cllrs Debbie Andre, Julie Jones-Evans, Andrew Garratt, John Medland, Steve Hastings

Confirmed as a true record of decisions taken

Leader of the Council

Agenda item	Minutes of the Last Meeting
Decision reference	34 (18/19)
Decision Taken	That the minutes of the meeting held on 11 October 2018 be agreed.
Questions / Amendments	None.

Agenda item	Declarations of Interest
Decision reference	35 (18/19)
	None declared.

Agenda item	Public Question Time
Decision reference	36 (18/19)
Questions	Ms Tanja Rebel of Cowes submitted a written question around the use of G5 on the Island. The Cabinet Member for Environment and Heritage provided a response (PQ 29/18). Ms Tanja Rebel asked a supplementary question; by requesting

that there be a public consultation.

Mrs Sue Birch of Brading submitted a written question on the subject of cross Solent travel to mainland hospitals.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health provided a response ([PQ 30/18](#))

The Clerk to Freshwater Parish Council submitted three questions around the future of the Local Area Co-ordinators service (LAC) Service.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health provided a response ([PQ 31/18](#))

Ms Christine Gascoyne of Lake submitted a written question on the future of the beach hut rental fees.

The Cabinet Member for Resources provided a response ([PQ 32/18](#))

Ms Lynne Rinaldi of Lake submitted a written question on the future of the beach hut rental fees.

The Cabinet Member for Resources provided a response ([PQ 33/18](#))

Mr Michael Ward of Ryde submitted a written question on the future of provision of housing on the Isle of Wight.

The Cabinet Member for Planning and Housing provided a response ([PQ 34/18](#))

Ms Rebecca Roberts of Ryde submitted a written question on the future of the beach hut rental fees.

The Cabinet Member for Resources provided a response ([PQ 35/18](#))

Ms Hazel Britton of Freshwater submitted a written question around the future of the Local Area Co-ordinators service (LAC) Service.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health provided a response ([PQ 36/18](#))

Ms Britton asked a supplementary question; how will the back log for Live Well and Care Navigators be dealt with.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health

advised that work had been carried out this AM to ensure no back log.

Ms Clare Griffin of Freshwater submitted a written question around the future of the Local Area Co-ordinators service (LAC) Service.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health provided a response ([PQ 37/18](#))

Ms Tracey Castle of Freshwater submitted a written question around the future of the Local Area Co-ordinators service (LAC) Service.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health provided a response ([PQ 38/18](#))

Mr Malcom Marshall of Ryde submitted a written question around the lease arrangements for Ryde Arena.

The Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Business Development provided a response ([PQ 39/18](#))

Oral Questions

Diana Conyers of Ryde asked question in regards to the LAC and how the current users would be supported and at what cost.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health advised that it would be covered later in the meeting.

The Chief Executive of Aspire in Ryde asked a question in regards to the LAC and whether the decision could be paused for 6/12 months.

The Leader advised that it would be covered later in the meeting.

Mark Chiverton from Unison asked a question in regards to the LAC. Is it not sensible to review the decision.

The Leader advised that it would be covered later in the meeting.

Heather White of Freshwater asked a question in regards to the LAC. There are 600 service users, why can't the IWC work with various partners to keep the service.

The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health advised that it would be covered later in the meeting.

Zoe Thompson the chair of Ryde Business Association asked a

	<p>question in regards to the budget review.</p> <p>The Leader advised that it would be reviewed when the cabinet debate the paper later in the meeting.</p> <p>Cameron Palin of East Cowes asked a question in regards to the floating bridge. Who owns the floating bridge and will the IWC get their money back.</p> <p>The Leader advised that the IWC do not have formal ownership but do maintain the floating bridge. There was a warranty in place which had been used.</p> <p>Catherine Pugh of Ryde asked a question in regards to housing; why were there so many developments in Ryde.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning advised that the Pennyfeathers development was agreed by the previous administration and that the Island plan review, would set out the development agenda for the next 15 years. The 106 agreement for planning would help to bring forward money for infrastructure and members of the public were urged to take part in the public consultation.</p> <p>Penny Tilt of Freshwater asked a question in regards to the LAC. There are currently 600 users, what will happen in the next 5 months for new sufferers.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health advised that it would be covered later in the meeting.</p>
--	--

Agenda item	<u>Chairman's Announcements</u>
Decision reference	37 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>Southampton Clean Air Zone – A reply from the Government had been received and it was advised that an appropriate plan needed to be put in place. The plan should not impact local business or members of the public. A meeting had been arranged with Southampton City Council to discuss working together to offer a wider tourism offering.</p> <p>Digital Conference would be held on 5 December 2018.</p> <p>Members would be attending the Remembrance Service on Sunday 11 December in Newport.</p> <p>The Chief Executive had attended the House of Lords Select Committee to consider the options for regeneration of the Coastal Resorts.</p>

Agenda item	<u>Consideration of the Council's Potential Spending and Savings Options for 2019/20</u>
Decision reference	38 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>The Leader of the Council and Deputy Leader (also the Cabinet Member for Resources) presented a statement explaining why the savings proposals had been published earlier than in previous years. This was followed by a report which provided members with a set of savings requirements for consideration.</p> <p>The report provided all members, residents, business and other stakeholders with sufficient time to consider and make any responses either through the forthcoming budget consultation exercise directly to the Council, or through their local councillors or other representative groups.</p>
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	<p>THAT the following be agreed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> I. To prepare the Budget for 2019/20 on the basis of a 2.99% increase in Council Tax, being an inflation based level and within the referendum thresholds currently being consulted upon II. Note that following consultation, the Cabinet may wish to amend the level of Council Tax increase but for every 1% reduction, the total sum of savings to be found will increase correspondingly by £822,900 in order to align with the Council's MTFS III. Agree the initial savings proposal attached at Appendix 1 as a means to inform consultations with members, residents, business and other stakeholders IV. Note that following consultation, the Cabinet may wish to amend the initial savings proposals but the total sum of savings for 2019/20 will need to amount to £5.5m and be on-going savings in order to align with the Council's MTFS. V. Note that savings requirements for the 2 further years of 2020/21 and 2021/22 forecast to be £5.5m per annum may change once the comprehensive revision of the Council's 3 Year Forecast has been completed and a new forecast prepared for the Annual Budget Meeting in February 2019.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	To provide an opportunity for members, residents, business and other stakeholders to engage with the administration and make suggestions on how the Council can meet its legal obligations and deliver a fair and balanced budget for the 2019 – 2020 financial year.
Options considered	Option F - Amend the initial savings proposals by substituting

and rejected	<p>alternatives to maintain the overall value of savings at £5.5m</p> <p>Option G - Amend the initial savings proposals without fully substituting alternatives to maintain the overall value of savings at £5.5m but recognising that this is contrary to the Council's MTFS</p> <p>Option H - Reject the recommendations within this report but recognising that this is contrary to the Council's MTFS</p>
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>The Future of the Local Area Co-ordinators service (LAC) Service</u>
Decision reference	39 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care and Public Health presented a report which reviewed the role and effectiveness of the Local Area Coordination model for area based community development and wellbeing.</p> <p>The paper sought approval for the council to cease funding the service at the end of March 2019. This would allow sufficient time for the council to identify alternative funding sources to sustain the service or to ensure its proper closedown, if necessary, taking full account of the needs of those currently making use of it.</p> <p>It was noted that County Hall would soon offer a One Stop Shop where a range of services would be available in one place.</p> <p>Members considered the views of the Scrutiny Committee which were circulated to all Cabinet Members. <u>LINK HERE</u></p>
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	<p>THAT the following be agreed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> I. To cease funding the Local Area Coordination Service in whole by 31st March 2019. II. Local Area Coordination Service will review all active cases to ensure safe transition to other services, information or signposting services or self-care as appropriate by this date. III. No new cases to be accepted by the Local Area Coordination Service from the date of this decision. IV. To support provision for a transition fund whereby the

	<p>voluntary and community sector can be supported to expand their current offer via the Living Well Service.</p> <p>V. A task and finish group, overseen by the cabinet member, be tasked to review the work of LAC and other similar programmes and make recommendations to the Local Care Board about how future investment in programmes to prevent demand and develop community resilience is effectively used.</p>
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	<p>To align with one of the key activities in the Corporate Plan 2017 – 2020, namely:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review and revise if necessary the role and effectiveness of the local area coordination model for area based community development and wellbeing.
Options considered and rejected	<p>(a) Continue with the Local Area Coordination service in its present form and request Full Council to fund the existing Local Area Coordination service when setting its budget for 2019/20.</p> <p>(b) Extend the Local Area Coordination service to cover the whole of the Island and request Full Council to fully fund the Local Area Coordination service when setting its budget for 2019/20.</p> <p>(c) Seek a third party to take over the operation of the Local Area Coordination service at no additional cost to the council</p>
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Isle of Wight Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) Management Plan 2019-24</u>
Decision reference	40 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>Cabinet Member for Environment and Heritage presented a report which sought the approval of the plan which covered approximately half of the land area of the Island (189Km2).</p> <p>As required by statute, notice of the review had been given on 7 July 2017 to both the Secretary of State and Natural England.</p> <p>Members considered the views of the Scrutiny Committee which were circulated to all Cabinet Members. <u>LINK HERE</u></p>
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	THAT the Isle of Wight AONB Management Plan 2019 - 2024, subject to the final wording being delegated to the AONB lead officer and AONB Partnership chairman, in consultation with the Head of Planning and Infrastructure Delivery and the Cabinet

	member for Environment and Heritage, be agreed.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	To support the council's corporate objectives as set out in the Corporate Plan 2017 in particular, that 'the environment and unique Island characteristics are celebrated' and supports the vision of the Island's draft Regeneration Strategy which aims 'for the Isle of Wight to be an inspiring place to grow up, live, work and visit.'
Options considered and rejected	Option 2: To delay the approval of the AONB management plan for further consideration.
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Hampshire and Isle of Wight Sustainability and Transformation Partnership</u>
Decision reference	41 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>The Leader and also the Cabinet Member for Adult Social Care, Public Health and Housing Needs presented a report which sought the endorsement of the system reform proposals made by the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Sustainability and Transformation Partnership, which are consistent with and would help to enable the council's own One Public Service ambitions.</p> <p>The Chief Executive advised that the proposals had the support of the Local Care Board and the Health and Wellbeing Board.</p> <p>Members considered the views of the Scrutiny Committee which were circulated to all Cabinet Members. <u>LINK HERE</u></p>
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> I. THAT the endorsement of the HIOW Sustainability and Transformation Partnership's proposals for the reform of the health and care system across its area, as set out in appendix 1 of the report, be agreed. II. THAT the requesting of the HIOW Sustainability and Transformation Partnership to consider the creation an Isle of Wight Integrated Care System, based on the existing Local Care Board, as one of its key next step activities in support of the council's One Public Service proposition, be agreed.
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	<p>To help deliver the objectives of delivering health improvement, quality, care and efficiency within the resources given to local health and care systems by Parliament.</p> <p>To help deliver the following three outcomes, as set out in its corporate plan (2017 to 2020):</p>

	<p>a) Vulnerable people are supported and protected</p> <p>b) People take responsibility for their own health and wellbeing</p> <p>c) Community needs are met by the best public services possible</p>
Options considered and rejected	<p>Option (b) - Reject the HIOW Sustainability and Transformation Partnership's proposals for the reform of the health and care system across its area, as set out in appendix 1.</p> <p>Option (c) - Note the HIOW Sustainability and Transformation Partnership's proposals for the reform of the health and care system across its area, as set out in appendix 1.</p>
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Isle of Wight Council Housing Delivery</u>
Decision reference	42 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	<p>The Cabinet Member for Planning and Housing presented a report which highlighted the challenges in delivering a sufficient supply of affordable housing in order to meet the needs of residents of the Isle of Wight.</p> <p>The report proposed that the council should take a more active role in the direct delivery of affordable housing , initially across its own selected sites, through the use of Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs) and ultimately through its own wholly owned Local Housing company.</p> <p>Members considered the views of the Scrutiny Committee which were circulated to all Cabinet Members. <u>LINK HERE</u></p>
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	<p>THAT the following be adopted and agreed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Option 1: Develop existing council sites using Special Purpose Vehicles and the acquisition of existing property to meet affordable housing needs, based on a full site cost appraisal, to be approved in each case by the Director of Finance, Director of Regeneration and Cabinet member for Resources. ➤ Delegate authority to the S.151 Officer to lend monies to any Special Purpose Vehicle or Local Housing

	<p>Company, within the limits of the council's Treasury Management Strategy on the basis of the appraisals undertaken as set out above.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Option 2: Set up a local housing company to enable long-term delivery of housing to meet local needs including the ability to develop housing for sale at market value on existing council owned sites and sites acquired from third parties. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Delegate authority to the Assistant Director of Corporate Services and Monitoring officer, in consultation with the S.151 Officer to develop all of the necessary documentation to establish all legal entities as required for the delivery of affordable and market housing for rent or sale. ➤ Delegate authority to the Assistant Director of Corporate Services and Monitoring Officer, in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Resources and the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning, to determine the governance arrangements for any Special Purpose Vehicle and Local Housing Company • Option 3: Continue to investigate the merits of opening a Housing Revenue Account. • Option 4: Develop a housing and estate management partnership to provide a housing management function on behalf of the council until the Local Housing company set up and Housing revenue account investigations have been completed. • Option 5: To review the council's current arrangements for nominations and allocations.
<p>Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with</p>	<p>To align with one of the key outcome priorities as outlined in the 2017 – 2020 Corporate Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing people with a place to call home and the ability to live with independence <p>To help achieve the following wellbeing the corporate aims:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To allow more people being cared for at home and at least 700 units of new extra care facilities for our elderly residents; • A achieve a near 50 per cent reduction in the number of households in temporary accommodation;

Options considered and rejected	Option 6 - Not to intervene in the housing market, but to allow the market and registered providers to continue with current and planned rates of activity.
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Cabinet Member Announcements</u>
Decision reference	43 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	The Cabinet Member for Regeneration and Business Development advised that a proposal would be submitted in regards to Garden Communities Program, the results of the submission would be in 2018.
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Consideration of the Forward Plan</u>
Decision reference	44 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	The Forward Plan of Key Decision was reviewed and the following was noted: Statement of Licensing Policy – Review and Update would be pushed back until January 2019.
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Members' Question Time</u>
Decision reference	45 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	No questions were raised.
Declarations of Interests	None.

Agenda item	<u>Pan Development Agreement and Management Community Interest Company (CIC)</u>
Decision reference	47 (18/19)
Summary of Discussion	The Cabinet Member for Resources presented a report which considered the council's options in respect of the completion of the Pan housing development along with securing the future of the management company responsible for managing the district heating system and the overall estate.

	Members considered the views of the Scrutiny Committee which were circulated to all Cabinet Members. LINK HERE
Issues raised by other members	No issues raised.
Decision taken	<p>THAT the following be agreed:</p> <p>Option 1 - To negotiate and agree with BDW property acquisition up to the value of the guaranteed MLV for the site and to agree and implement the associated land transfer for the whole site, and to provide for the future ownership arrangements of the Biomass land and equipment to rest with the IWC. The final detail of these actions to be delegated to the Assistant Chief Executive in consultation with the S151 Officer and the Cabinet Member for Resources.</p> <p>Option 2 - To work with the DA partners of BDW and Sovereign to agree funding to secure the future of Pan Man Co CIC. The final detail of this to be delegated to the Assistant Chief Executive in consultation with the S151 Officer and the Cabinet Member for Resources, but capped at a contribution from the IWC of £400k.</p>
Reason for the decision and corporate objective it aligns with	<p>To align with one of the key outcome priorities as outlined in the 2017 – 2020 Corporate Plan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Providing people with a place to call home and the ability to live with independence <p>To help achieve the following wellbeing the corporate aims:</p> <p>To allow more people being cared for at home and at least 700 units of new extra care facilities for our elderly residents;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A achieve a near 50 per cent reduction in the number of households in temporary accommodation; • To provide the opportunity for investment in the economy, more specifically within the construction industry. This is linked directly with the corporate priorities of both growth and regeneration.
Options considered and rejected	Option 3: Not to continue to negotiate with BDW with regard to their final acquisition of the whole site at this time, but to leave agreement on this matter until the completion of the development.
Declarations of Interests	None.